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#### Abstract

The theory of elastic interaction of micrometer-sized axially symmetric colloidal particles immersed into confined nematic liquid crystal has been proposed. General formulas are obtained for the self-energy of one colloidal particle and interaction energy between two particles in arbitrary confined nematic liquid crystals with strong anchoring condition on the bounding surfaces. Particular cases of dipole-dipole interaction in the homeotropic and planar nematic cell with thickness $L$ are considered and found to be exponentially screened on far distances with decay length $\lambda_{\mathrm{dd}}=\frac{L}{\pi}$. It is predicted that bounding surfaces in the planar cell crucially change the attraction and repulsion zones of usual dipole-dipole interaction. As well it is predicted that the decay length in quadrupolar interaction is two times smaller than for the dipolar case in the homeotropic cell.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Colloidal particles in nematic liquid crystals (NLC) have attracted a great research interest during the last years. Anisotropic properties of the host fluid-liquid crystal give rise to a class of colloidal anisotropic interactions that never occurs in isotropic hosts. The anisotropic interactions result in different structures of colloidal particles such as linear chains in inverted nematic emulsions [1,2], two-dimensional (2D) crystals [3], and 2D hexagonal structures at nematic air interface $[5,6]$.

Study of anisotropic colloidal interactions has been made both experimentally $[2-9]$ and theoretically [10-16]. The first theoretical approach was developed in $[1,10]$ with help of ansatz functions for the director and using multiple expansion in the far field area. Another approach [11,12] gave possibility to find approximate solutions in terms of geometrical shape of particles. Recently, authors of $[15,16]$ proposed a method for finding elastic interaction between colloids based on the fixing of director field on the surface of virtual sphere surrounding the real particle. The predicted dipole-dipole forces are three times weaker and quadrupolequadrupole five times weaker than results of [10]. On the other hand authors of [9] recently have measured experimentally both interactions and found that experimental results are in accordance with Lubensky et al. prediction [10] with about $10 \%$ accuracy. This allows to justify assumptions of [10] for spherical particles for infinite nematic liquid crystal. In this paper, we suggest to generalize that approach for the case of the confined nematic liquid crystals as practically always NLC has to be confined with walls, cells or containers. In a broader context, understanding the elasticitymediated colloidal interactions in confined media is of great importance not only in the field of regular thermotropic liquid crystals, but also for understanding interactions in more complex media with orientational order, for example,
in solutions of DNA, f-actin, and other biologically relevant molecules. Up to now almost all experimental studies did not take into account quantitatively confinement effects besides the article of Vilfan et al. [4]. In that paper, authors have found exponential screening effects for quadrupolequadrupole interaction between spherical particles in homeotropic NLC cell. From our viewpoint, there was only one theoretical approach for description of colloidal particles in confined NLC performed in papers [13,14].

In this paper, we propose the approach for quantitative description of the axial colloidal particles in confined NLC. This method enables to find self-energy of one colloidal particle and interaction energy between two particles in arbitrary confined NLC with strong anchoring condition $n_{\mu}(\mathbf{s})=0$ on the bounding surfaces. We apply general formulas to the particular cases of dipole-dipole and quadrupole-quadrupole interaction in the homeotropic cell and to the dipole-dipole interaction in the planar cell with thickness $L$.

## II. GENERAL APPROACH

Consider axially symmetric particle of the size $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~m} \div 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, which may carry topological defects such as hyperbolic hedgehog, declination ring, or boojums. Director field far from the particle in the infinite LC has the form $n_{x}(\mathbf{r})=p \frac{x}{R^{3}}+3 c \frac{x z}{R^{5}}, \quad n_{y}(\mathbf{r})=p \frac{y}{R^{3}}+3 c \frac{y z}{R^{5}}$ with $p$ and $c$ being dipole and quadrupole moment (we use another notation for $c$ with respect to the $\widetilde{c}$ in [10], so that our $c=\frac{2}{3} \widetilde{c}$ ). It was found in [10] that $p=\alpha a^{2}, c=-\beta a^{3}$ with $a$ being the particle radius, and, for instance, $\alpha=2.04, \beta=0.72$ for hyperbolic hedgehog configuration. In order to find energy of the system: particle(s) + LC it is necessary to introduce some effective functional $F_{\text {eff }}$ so that it is Euler-Lagrange (EL) equations should have the above solutions. In the [10] it was found that in the one constant approximation with Frank constant $K$ the effective functional has the form


FIG. 1. (Color online) Log-log plots of the interaction potential in $k T$ units as a function of the rescaled interparticle distance $\rho / L$. Here particle's radius $a=2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, cell thickness $L=7 \mu \mathrm{~m}, K=7 \mathrm{pN}, p=p^{\prime}=2.04 a^{2}, c=c^{\prime}=0.2 a^{3}$. Blue thick line 3 is quadrupole potential in homeotropic cell, dashed thick line 4 is its power-law asymptotics $\propto 1 / \rho^{5}$. All thin lines are dipole potentials in planar cell. Coming anticlockwise, purple line 1 is attraction along the direction $\varphi=40^{\circ}$, brown line 2 is along $\varphi=20^{\circ}$, red line 5 is along $z$ axis $\varphi=0$. Black thick line 6 is dipole repulsion in homeotropic cell from Eq. (7) and the last green thin line 7 is repulsion along $\varphi=\frac{\pi}{2}$. Thin dashed line 8 is the power-law asymptotics $U=\frac{4 \pi K p^{2}}{\rho^{3}}$.
$F_{\mathrm{eff}}=K \int d^{3} x\left\{\frac{\left(\nabla n_{\mu}\right)^{2}}{2}-4 \pi P(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{\mu} n_{\mu}-4 \pi C(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{z} \partial_{\mu} n_{\mu}\right\}$,
which brings Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta n_{\mu}=4 \pi\left[\partial_{\mu} P(\mathbf{x})-\partial_{z} \partial_{\mu} C(\mathbf{x})\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(\mathbf{x})$ and $C(\mathbf{x})$ are dipole- and quadrupole-moment densities. For the infinite space the solution has the known form: $n_{\mu}(\mathbf{x})=\int d^{3} \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|}\left[-\partial_{\mu}^{\prime} P\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)+\partial_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial_{z}^{\prime} C\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right]$. If we consider $P(\mathbf{x})=p \delta(\mathbf{x})$ and $C(\mathbf{x})=c \delta(\mathbf{x})$ this really brings $n_{x}(\mathbf{r})=p \frac{x}{R^{3}}+3 c \frac{x z}{R^{5}}, \quad n_{y}(\mathbf{r})=p \frac{y}{R^{3}}+3 c \frac{y z}{R^{5}}$.

In the case of confined nematic with the boundary conditions $n_{\mu}(\mathbf{s})=0$ on the surface $S$, the solution of EL equation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\mu}(\mathbf{x})=\int_{V} d^{3} \mathbf{x}^{\prime} G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\left[-\partial_{\mu}^{\prime} P\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)+\partial_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial_{z}^{\prime} C\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is the Green's function $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=-4 \pi \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{V}$ and $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})=0$ for any $\mathbf{s}$ of the bounding surfaces. Consider $N$ particles in the confined NLC, so $P(\mathbf{x})$ $=\sum_{i} p_{i} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)$ and $C(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i} c_{i} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)$. Then substitution (3) into $F_{\text {eff }}$ brings: $F_{\text {eff }}=U^{\text {self }}+U^{\text {interaction }}$ where $U^{\text {self }}=\Sigma_{i} U_{i}^{\text {self }}$, here $U_{i}^{\text {self }}$ is the interaction of the $i$-th particle with the bounding surfaces $U_{i}^{\text {self }}=U_{\mathrm{dd}}^{\text {self }}+U_{d Q}^{\text {self }}+U_{Q Q}^{\text {self }}$. In general case, the interaction of the particle with bounding surfaces (selfenergy part) takes the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{\mathrm{dd}}^{\text {self }}=-\left.2 \pi K p^{2} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\mu}^{\prime} H\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathbf{x}_{i}=\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}} \\
U_{d Q}^{\text {self }}=-\left.4 \pi K p c \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial_{z}^{\prime} H\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathbf{x}_{i}=\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}} \\
U_{Q Q}^{\text {self }}=-\left.2 \pi K c^{2} \partial_{z} \partial_{z}^{\prime} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\mu}^{\prime} H\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathbf{x}_{i}=\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|}+H\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} H\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad$ (we excluded divergent part of self-energy from $\left.\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|}\right)$.

Interaction energy $U^{\text {interaction }}=\sum_{i<j} U_{i j}^{\mathrm{int}}$. Here $U_{i j}^{\mathrm{int}}$ is the interaction energy between $i$ and $j$ particles: $U_{i j}^{\mathrm{int}}=U_{\mathrm{dd}}$ $+U_{d Q}+U_{Q Q}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{\mathrm{dd}}=-4 \pi K p p^{\prime} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\mu}^{\prime} G\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\prime}\right) \\
U_{d Q}=-4 \pi K\left\{p c^{\prime} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial_{z}^{\prime} G\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\prime}\right)+p^{\prime} c \partial_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{z} G\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
U_{Q Q}=-4 \pi K c c^{\prime} \partial_{z} \partial_{z}^{\prime} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\mu}^{\prime} G\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here unprimed quantities are used for particle $i$ and primed for particle $j$. Formulas (4) and (5) represent general expressions for the self-energy of one particle (energy of interaction with the walls) and interparticle elastic interactions in the arbitrary confined NLC with strong anchoring conditions $n_{\mu}(\mathbf{s})=0$ on the bounding surfaces. Below, we will apply these expressions for particular cases of the nematic cell with homeotropic and planar configurations.

## III. APPLICATION

## A. Interaction in the homeotropic cell with width $L$

Green's function in this case has the form [17],

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\mathrm{hom}}^{\mathrm{cell}}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)= & \frac{4}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i m\left(\varphi-\varphi^{\prime}\right)} \sin \frac{n \pi z}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi z^{\prime}}{L} \\
& \times I_{m}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho_{<}}{L}\right) K_{m}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho_{>}}{L}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here heights $z, z^{\prime}$, horizontal projections $\rho_{<,}, \rho_{>}$and $I_{m}, K_{m}$ are modified Bessel functions. Then using of Eq. (5) brings dipole-dipole interaction in the cell

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{hom}}^{c}=\frac{16 \pi K p p^{\prime}}{L^{3}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n \pi)^{2} \sin \frac{n \pi z}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi z^{\prime}}{L} K_{0}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho}{L}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\rho$ being the horizontal projection of the distance between particles. Similar quadrupole-quadrupole interaction takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{Q Q, \text { hom }}^{c}=\frac{16 \pi K c c^{\prime}}{L^{5}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n \pi)^{4} \cos \frac{n \pi z}{L} \cos \frac{n \pi z^{\prime}}{L} K_{0}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho}{L}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

When both particles are located in the center of the cell $z=z^{\prime}=\frac{L}{2} \quad$ we have $U_{\text {dd,hom }}^{c}=\frac{16 \pi K p p^{\prime}}{L^{3}} \sum_{n=1 \text {,odd }}^{\infty}(n \pi)^{2} K_{0}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho}{L}\right)$ (see black thick line 6 on the Fig. 1). In the limit of small distance $\rho \ll L$ between particles it has asymptotic $U_{\text {dd,hom }}^{c}$ $\rightarrow \frac{4 \pi K p p^{\prime}}{\rho^{3}}$ that is in agreement with standard formula for the usual dipole-dipole interaction $U_{\mathrm{dd}}$ $=\frac{4 \pi K p p^{\prime}}{r^{3}}\left(1-3 \cos ^{2} \theta\right)$ for $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$. From the Fig. 1, it is clearly seen that power-law behavior $U \propto \frac{1}{\rho^{3}}$ is valid to the $\rho=1.2 L$. For larger distances $\rho>1.2 L$, exponential decay takes place $U_{\mathrm{dd}, r \Rightarrow \infty}=16 \pi K p p^{\prime} \frac{\pi^{2}}{L^{2}} \frac{e^{-\pi \rho L}}{\sqrt{2 L \rho}}$ so we have decay length for dipole-dipole interaction $\lambda_{\mathrm{dd}}=\frac{L}{\pi}$.

When both particles are located in the center of the cell $z=z^{\prime}=\frac{L}{2}$, we have quadrupole interaction $U_{Q Q \text {,hom }}^{c}$ $=\frac{16 \pi K c c^{\prime}}{L^{5}} \sum_{n=2, \text { even }}^{\infty}(n \pi)^{4} K_{0}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho}{L}\right)$ (see thick blue line 3 on the Fig. 1). This coincides with the result of [14] if we take $\Gamma$ there to be equal $\Gamma=2 \pi K c=-2 \beta \pi K a^{3}$. Let us emphasize that in [14], the $\Gamma$ remains unknown quantity. In the limit of small distance $\rho \ll L$ between particles it has asymptotics $U_{Q Q, \text { hom }}^{c} \rightarrow \frac{36 \pi K c c^{\prime}}{\rho^{\prime}}$ that is in agreement with standard formula for the usual quadrupole-quadrupole interaction $U_{Q Q}=4 \pi K c c^{\prime} \frac{9-90 \cos ^{2} \theta+105 \cos ^{4} \theta}{r^{5}}$ for $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$. This power-law behavior is valid to the distance $\rho=0.8 L$. For larger distance $\rho>0.8 L$ crossover to the exponential decay occurs $U_{Q Q, r \Rightarrow \infty}=8 \pi K c c^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{L}\right) \frac{4 \frac{e^{-2 \pi \rho L L}}{\sqrt[L \rho]{ }}}{\sqrt{2}}$. So we come to the following prediction: decay length for quadrupole particles: $\lambda_{Q Q}=\frac{L}{2 \pi}$ $=\frac{\lambda_{d d}}{2}$ is twice smaller than for dipole particles in the homeotropic cell.

## B. Interaction in the planar cell with thickness $L$

In order to find Green's function for this case, let us turn coordinate system (CS) of the homeotropic cell
$\operatorname{CS}^{\text {hom }}(x, y, z)$ round the $y$ axis on $\pi / 2$. Then we will have CS $^{\text {plan }}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})$ with transition matrix $A: \mathbf{x}=A \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}$, $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=A \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}$ so that $x=\widetilde{z}, y=\tilde{y}, z=-\widetilde{x}$. Then $G_{\text {hom }}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ $=G_{\text {hom }}\left(A \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, A \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}\right)=G_{\text {plan }}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}\right)$. Omitting sign $\sim$ we may write Green's function for planar cell in the CS ${ }^{\text {plan }}$ with $\mathbf{n} \| z$ and $x$ perpendicular to the cell plane $(x \in[0, L])$

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\mathrm{plan}}^{\mathrm{cell}}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)= & \frac{4}{L} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i m\left(\varphi-\varphi^{\prime}\right)} \sin \frac{n \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi x^{\prime}}{L} \\
& \times I_{m}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho_{<}}{L}\right) K_{m}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho_{>}}{L}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where heights $x, x^{\prime}$, horizontal projections $\rho_{<}=\sqrt{y^{2}+z^{2}}, \rho_{>}$ $=\sqrt{y^{\prime 2}+z^{\prime 2}}, \operatorname{tg} \varphi=\frac{y}{z}, \operatorname{tg} \varphi^{\prime}=\frac{y^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}}$ and $\rho_{<}$is less than $\rho_{>}$. Then taking derivatives brings dipole-dipole interaction in the planar cell $U_{\text {dd,plan }}^{c}=-4 \pi K p p^{\prime} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\mu}^{\prime} G_{\text {plan }}^{\text {cell }}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{plan}}^{c}=\frac{16 \pi K p p^{\prime}}{L^{3}}\left(F_{1}-F_{2} \cos ^{2} \varphi\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n \pi)^{2}}{2} \sin \frac{n \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi x^{\prime}}{L}\left[K_{0}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho}{L}\right)+K_{2}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho}{L}\right)\right] \\
& -(n \pi)^{2} \cos \frac{n \pi x}{L} \cos \frac{n \pi x^{\prime}}{L} K_{0}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho}{L}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$



FIG. 2. (Color online) Blue thick line is the border of the attraction (inside) and repulsion (outside) zone for parallel dipoles in the planar cell from Eq. (10). Director $\mathbf{n}_{0} \| z$. Black thin line is the parabola $z=\frac{\pi y^{2}}{L}$. Dashed lines make angle $\varphi=\arccos \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$ with $z$ and are borders of repulsion and attraction zone for unlimited nematic.

$$
F_{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n \pi)^{2} \sin \frac{n \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi x^{\prime}}{L} K_{2}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho}{L}\right)
$$

When both particles are located in the center of the cell $x=x^{\prime}=\frac{L}{2}$, we have $F_{1}=\sum_{n=1, \text { odd }}^{\infty} \frac{(n \pi)^{2}}{2}\left[K_{0}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho}{L}\right)+K_{2}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho}{L}\right)\right]$ $-\sum_{n=2, \text { even }}^{\infty}(n \pi)^{2} K_{0}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho}{L}\right) \quad$ and $\quad F_{2}=\sum_{n=1, \text { odd }}^{\infty}(n \pi)^{2} K_{2}\left(\frac{n \pi \rho}{L}\right)$ $\left(F_{1 \rho}^{\prime}<0, F_{2 \rho}^{\prime}<0\right)$. In the limit of small distance $\rho \ll L$ between particles, these functions have asymptotics $F_{1} \rightarrow \frac{L^{3}}{4 \rho^{3}}$ and $F_{2} \rightarrow \frac{3 L^{3}}{4 \rho^{3}}$ so that we come to the well known result $U_{\mathrm{dd}}=\frac{4 \pi K p p^{\prime}}{\rho^{3}}\left(1-3 \cos ^{2} \varphi\right)$ for $\rho \ll L$. In the limit of big distances $\rho \geq L$, we have $\frac{F_{1 \rho}^{\prime}}{F_{2 \rho}^{\prime}}=1-\frac{L}{\pi \rho}+o\left(\frac{L}{\rho}\right)$ with accuracy $5 \%$ already for $\rho=L$. So for $\rho \geq L$ the radial component of the force between particles may be written as $\mathbf{f}_{\rho}=-\frac{\partial U_{\text {dd.plan }}^{c}}{\partial \rho}=-\frac{16 \pi K p p^{\prime}}{L^{3}} F_{2 \rho}^{\prime}(\rho) \cdot\left(1-\frac{L}{\pi \rho}-\cos ^{2} \varphi\right)$ so that dipoledipole interaction is attractive $\left(\mathbf{f}_{\rho}<0\right)$ for $-\varphi_{c} \leq \varphi<\varphi_{c}, \varphi_{c}$ $=\arccos \left(\sqrt{1-\frac{L}{\pi \rho}}\right) \approx \sqrt{\frac{L}{\pi \rho}}$ and is repulsive for $\varphi_{c}<\varphi<2 \pi$ $-\varphi_{c}$ (if dipoles are parallel each other $p=p^{\prime}$ and vice versa if $\left.p=-p^{\prime}\right)$. In other words for $\rho>L$ dipole-dipole interaction is
attractive inside parabola $z=\frac{\pi y^{2}}{L}$ and is repulsive outside this parabola (see Fig. 2). All numerical calculations in the paper were performed using Mathematica 6, and in all series we used summation $\sum_{n=1}^{300}$.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

To conclude we have found general approach for description of the axial colloidal particles of the size $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~m} \div 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the confined NLC. The decay length for dipole interaction is found to be twice more than for quadrupole interaction in the homeotropic cell. In the planar cell bounding surfaces crucially change attraction and repulsion zones for the distances larger than $\rho_{c}=0.5 L$ where crossover to the parabola $z=\frac{\pi y^{2}}{L}$ takes place, so that attraction zone is inside this parabola and repulsive zone is outside it. This approach has been successfully applied as well for the interaction of one particle with the one homeotropic and planar wall and for interaction between two particles near such wall. These results will be published in the upcoming paper [18].
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